IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 10619 of 2004

Between:

S. Santosh Goud, S/o. Potha Goud,
Counter No. 6, R/o. Narasapur (G), Adilabad District.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Superintendent of Prohibition & Excise, Adilabad District, Adilabad.
2 The Inspector of Prohibition and Excise, Bhainsa, Adilabad District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent not to pass final order in pursuance of the Proceedings Rc. No. A5/452/2004, dt. 10-06-2004 in respect of Toddy Shop, TFT License Counter No. 6, Narsapur (G), Adilabad District, till receipt of the 2nd simple bottle report from the independent lab and declare impugned proceedings of the 1st respondent Rc. No. A5/452/2004, dt. 10-06-2004 as illegal

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.SAI RAM GOUD

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE

The Court made the following order:

ORDER:

–

–

Mr. S. Sudershan Reddy representing Mr. B. Sai Ram Goud, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous and there survives no cause to be adjudicated.

Recording the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

April 25, 2005

Dsk

To

1 The Superintendent of Prohibition & Excise, Adilabad District, Adilabad.
2 The Inspector of Prohibition and Excise, Bhainsa, Adilabad District.

3 Two CCs to GP For Prohibition & Excise, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT)

Two CD Copies.